

# YET ANOTHER FOOTNOTE TO *THE LEAST NON ZERO DIGIT OF $n!$* IN BASE 12

JEAN-MARC DESHOULLERS

*À la mémoire de Pierre LIARDET*

ABSTRACT. We continue the study, initiated with Imre Ruzsa, of the last non zero digit  $\ell_{12}(n!)$  of  $n!$  in base 12, showing that for any  $a \in \{3, 4, 6, 8, 9\}$ , the set of those integers  $n$  for which  $\ell_{12}(n!) = a$  is not 3-automatic.

*Communicated by Jean-Louis Verger-Gaugry*

## 1. Introduction

We pursue the study, initiated in [5], prolonged in [4]<sup>1</sup>, of the sequence  $(\ell_{12}(n!))_n$ , where  $\ell_b(n)$  denotes the last (or final) non zero digit of the integer  $n$  in base  $b$ . In other words, if  $v_b(n)$  denotes the largest integer  $v$  such that  $b^v$  divides  $n$ , then  $\ell_b(n)$  is the integer in  $\{1, 2, \dots, b-1\}$  congruent to  $n/b^{v_b(n)}$  modulo  $b$ .

We do not repeat the introduction of the previous papers, but just recall in short, that  $\ell_{10}(n!)$  is even as soon as  $n \geq 2$  because  $v_2(n!)$  is larger than  $v_5(n!)$ , and moreover the sequence  $(\ell_{12}(n!))_n$  is 5-automatic. In the case of the sequence  $(\ell_{12}(n!))_n$ , the number  $v_4(n!)$  is usually larger than  $v_3(n!)$ , but may be also smaller. A key point in the study is that the sequence  $(\ell_{12}(n!))_n$  coincides on a set of asymptotic density 1 with a 3-automatic sequence taking only the values 4 and 8, each with asymptotic density 1/2; in [5] some doubts were expressed on the fact that the sequence  $(\ell_{12}(n!))_n$  could be automatic itself. Our aim is to prove the following result

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A63, 11B85.

Keywords: radix representation, automatic sequences, significant digit, factorial, base 12.

<sup>1</sup>On lit en première page de cet article *Communicated by Pierre Liardet*, formule qui masque l'enthousiasme et la précision que Pierre mettait dans son activité éditoriale, comme dans toutes ses entreprises.

**THEOREM 1.** *For  $a \in \{4, 8\}$  the sequence  $\{n; \ell_{12}(n!) = a\}$  is not 3-automatic. For  $a \in \{3, 6, 9\}$  the sequence  $\{n; \ell_{12}(n!) = a\}$  is not automatic.*

We first prove the second point, showing that each of the three considered sequences has no gap, which permits to apply a criterion of Minsky and Pappert for non automatic sequences, revisited by Cobham (Proposition 3 below). We then show that each of the sequences considered in the first point is a 3-automatic sequence, modified by a non automatic sequence.

## 2. The tools for the proof of Theorem 1

The following proposition recalls points established in the previous papers [5] and [4].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $u_0u_1u_2 \dots u_n \dots$  be the fixed point, starting with a 4 of the substitution  $4 \rightarrow 448884884$ ,  $8 \rightarrow 884448448$ . The following holds true*

- (i) *If  $v_4(n!) > v_3(n!)$ , a relation which occurs on a set of asymptotic density 1, one has  $\ell_{12}(n!) = u_n$ .*

*Let  $n$  be an integer divisible by 144 for which  $v_3(n!) \geq v_4(n!) + 2$ , then*

- (ii) *for  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , we have  $\ell_{12}((n+k)!) \in \{3, 6, 9\}$ ,*
- (iii) *for  $a \in \{3, 6, 9\}$ , there exists  $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 7\}$  such that  $\ell_{12}((n+k)!) = a$ .*

The second ingredient, already used in our previous papers is Legendre's relation connecting  $v_{p^a}(n!)$  and the sum of the digits  $s_p(n)$  of  $n$  in the prime base  $p$ , namely

$$v_{p^a}(n!) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - s_p(n)}{a(p-1)} \right\rfloor. \tag{1}$$

The third ingredient is a straightforward corollary of the main result of [9].

**PROPOSITION 2.** *There exists a constant  $C$  such that, for  $n \geq 25$  one has*

$$s_2(n) + s_3(n) > \frac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n + C} - 1. \tag{2}$$

Our last ingredient is a sufficient criterion of Minsky and Pappert ([2], Theorem 10) implying that a sequence is not automatic.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *An infinite sequence of integers  $(a_n)_n$  with zero asymptotic density (i.e.,  $a_n/n$  tends to infinity) and no gap (i.e.,  $a_{n+1}/a_n$  tends to 1) is automatic in no base.*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We first prove that there are many integers  $n$  for which  $s_3(n)$  is small.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $(h(n))_n$  be an increasing sequence of integers tending to infinity. The sequence  $\{n; s_3(n) \leq h(n)\}$  is not lacunary, in the sense that the sequence of the quotients of its consecutive terms tends to 1.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $h(n)$  is an even number, say  $h(n) = 2g(n)$ , and that  $h(n) \leq \log n/2 \log 3$ . We consider the set  $\mathcal{S}_h$  of integers  $n$  for which  $h(n) \geq 4$  and  $s_3(n) \leq h(n)$ . Let  $n$  be in  $\mathcal{S}_h$ ; we are going to prove that the interval

$$\left( n, n \left( 1 + 3^{1-g(n)} \right) \right]$$

contains an element of  $\mathcal{S}_h$ , which is enough to prove the lemma.

- If  $s_3(n) \leq h(n) - 1$ , we have  $s_3(n+1) \leq s_3(n) + 1 \leq h(n) \leq h(n+1)$  and so  $(n+1)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_h$ .
- If  $s_3(n) = h(n) = 2g(n)$ , we let  $n = \eta_K 3^K + \eta_{K-1} 3^{K-1} + \dots + \eta_0$  be the proper representation of  $n$  in base 3, with  $\eta_K \neq 0$  and  $K = \lfloor \log n / \log 3 \rfloor$ . Writing  $g$  for  $g(n)$ , we have

$$\eta_K + \eta_{K-1} + \dots + \eta_{K-g+2} \leq 2(g-1) = h(n) - 2,$$

and so we have

$$\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{K-g+1} \geq 2.$$

- If  $\eta_{K-g+1} = 2$ , we consider the integer  $n + 3^{K-g+1}$ ; it is in the prescribed interval and satisfies, since there is a carry over,

$$s_3(n + 3^{K-g+1}) \leq s_3(n) = h(n) \leq h(n + 3^{K-g+1}).$$

- If  $\eta_{K-g+1} \leq 1$ , there exists  $\ell < K - g + 1$  with  $\eta_\ell \geq 1$ ; we then consider the integer  $n + 3^{K-g+1} + 3^\ell$ , which is in the prescribed interval and satisfies

$$s_3(n + 3^{K-g+1} + 3^\ell) \leq s_3(n) = h(n) \leq h(n + 3^{K-g+1} + 3^\ell).$$

This proves Lemma 1. □

In the sequel, we shall apply Lemma 1 with

$$h(n) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\log \log n}{18 \log \log \log n} \right\rfloor$$

and so the sequence

$$\mathcal{S} = \{n \geq 25; s_3(n) \leq h(n)\} \quad \text{is not lacunary.} \tag{3}$$

Since the expansion of 144 in base 3 is 12100, for  $n$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and large enough, we have

$$s_3(144n) \leq 4s_3(n) \leq 4h(n) \leq \frac{4 \log \log(144n)}{9 \log \log \log(144n)}.$$

This, together with (2) implies that when  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$  and is large enough, we have

$$s_2(144n) \geq s_3(144n) + 4.$$

We now combine this last relation with Legendre's formula (1) and get that for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ , one has

$$v_3((144n)!) \geq v_4((144n)!) + 2. \tag{4}$$

For  $1 \leq a \leq 11$ , we let  $\mathcal{L}_a = \{n; \ell_{12}(n!) = a\}$ .

We start by considering the case when  $a \in \{3, 6, 9\}$ . By Proposition 1 (i), the set  $\mathcal{L}_a$  has zero asymptotic density; by (4), Proposition 1 (iii) and (3), it is not lacunary; so, by Proposition 3, it is not automatic and the second part of Theorem 1 is settled.

We turn now our attention to  $\mathcal{L}_a$  for  $a \in \{4, 8\}$ ; we let  $\mathcal{U}_a = \{n; u_n = a\}$ . By Proposition 1 (i),  $\mathcal{L}_a \subset \mathcal{U}_a$  and those two sets have both density 1/2 and coincide on a set of density 1/2. Another feature of the 3-automatic sequence  $\mathcal{U}_a$ , easily seen on its definition, is that out of 4 consecutive integers, one at least belongs to it. By (4), Proposition 1 (ii) and (3),  $\mathcal{U}_a \setminus \mathcal{L}_a$  is a non lacunary infinite sequence of asymptotic density 0, and thus, is not automatic. This implies the first part of Theorem 1 and ends its proof.

We finally remark, that the non automaticity in all bases for the sequences  $\mathcal{L}_4$  and  $\mathcal{L}_8$  is still to be proved.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The Author acknowledges with thanks the support of the Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of Advanced Research (CEFIPRA 5401) and the ANR-FWF project MuDeRa.

REFERENCES

[1] ALLOUCHE, J-P.—SHALLIT, J.: *Automatic Sequences*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.  
 [2] COBHAM, A.: *Uniform tag sequences*, Math. Systems Theory **6** (1972), 164–192.  
 [3] DEKKING, F. M.: *Regularity and irregularity of sequences generated by automata*. Seminar on Number Theory, 1979–1980 (French), Exp. No. 9, 10 pp., Univ. Bordeaux, Talence, 1980.

NON-AUTOMATICITY AND  $n!$  IN BASE 12

- [4] DESHOUILLEERS, J-M.: *A footnote to The least non zero digit of  $n!$  in base 12*, Uniform Distribution Theory **7** (2012), 71–73.
- [5] DESHOUILLEERS, J-M.—RUZSA, I.: *The least non zero digit of  $n!$  in base 12*, Pub. Math. Debrecen **79** (2011), 395–400.
- [6] DRESDEN, G.: *Three transcendental numbers from the last non-zero digits of  $n^n$ ,  $F_n$  and  $n!$* , Math. Mag. **81** (2008), 96–105.
- [7] KAKUTANI, S.: *Ergodic theory of shift transformations*, in: Proc. The Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Stat. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 2, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967, pp. 405–414.
- [8] SLOANE, N. J. A.: The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org>; key word: **Final nonzero digit of  $n!$**
- [9] STEWART, C. L.: *On the representation of an integer in two different bases*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **319** (1980), 63–72.

Received March 26, 2016  
Accepted October 13, 2016

**Jean-Marc Deshouillers**

*Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP et CNRS  
Institut mathématique de Bordeaux, UMR 5465  
33405 TALENCE Cedex  
FRANCE*

*E-mail: jean-marc.deshouillers@math.u-bordeaux.fr*