

## The efficiency of lactic acid bacteria against pathogenic fungi and mycotoxins

Adam Perczak<sup>1</sup>, Piotr Goliński<sup>1</sup>, Marcin Bryła<sup>2</sup>, and Agnieszka Waśkiewicz<sup>1</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznań<sup>1</sup>, Department of Food Analysis, Prof. Waclaw Dabrowski Institute of Agricultural and Food Biotechnology, Warsaw<sup>2</sup>, Poland

[Received in October 2017; Similarity Check in October 2017; Accepted in March 2018]

Mycotoxins are produced by some fungal species of the genera *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* and are common contaminants of a wide range of food commodities. Numerous strategies are used to minimise fungal growth and mycotoxin contamination throughout the food chain. This review addresses the use of lactic acid bacteria, which can inhibit fungal growth and participate in mycotoxin degradation and/or removal from contaminated food. Being beneficial for human and animal health, lactic acid bacteria have established themselves as an excellent solution to the problem of mycotoxin contamination, yet in practice their application in removing mycotoxins remains a challenge to be addressed by future research.

KEY WORDS: *Aspergillus*; biological methods; *Fusarium*; inhibition; LAB; *Penicillium*

Mycotoxin contamination of feed and food is a significant issue worldwide. Mycotoxins are a large group of secondary metabolites produced by the *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* genera, and pose serious risks for human and animal health (1-4). Fungal growth and mycotoxin production may occur in the field and/or during

storage, if the temperature and humidity are favourable (4-8).

The main sources of mycotoxins are cereal grains (including wheat, barley, oats, corn, and rice) and their products, nuts, almonds, fruits, coffee, spices, and legumes (5, 6, 9-11) (Table 1).

**Table 1** The main sources of mycotoxins

Mycotoxin	Species of fungi	Main source	References
Aflatoxins	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> , <i>Aspergillus parasiticus</i>	peanuts, oilseed cereals, cow's milk, sorghum, spices	(12-18)
Ochratoxins	<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i> , <i>A. sulphureus</i> , <i>Penicillium verucosum</i>	cereal grain storage, feed, grapes, wine, coffee	(19-23)
Trichothecenes	<i>Fusarium poae</i> , <i>F. sporotrichioides</i> , <i>F. acuminatum</i> , <i>F. equiseti</i>	wheat, barley, maize, oat, buckwheat, sorghum, feed	(1, 24-34)
Zearalenone	<i>Fusarium graminearum</i> , <i>F. culmorum</i> , <i>F. cerealis</i>	maize, wheat, barley, oat, sorghum, rice, pea, feed	(1, 26, 35-40)
Fumonisin	<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i> , <i>F. proliferatum</i>	maize and other cereals and their products, asparagus	(41-44)
Patulin	<i>Penicillium expansum</i> , <i>P. cyclopium</i>	apples, pears, apple juice	(45-47)

As they enter the food chain, mycotoxins can also contaminate eggs, milk, and meat and accumulate in different organs or tissues (9, 11, 48).

Long-term exposure to mycotoxins has also been associated with carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic, oestrogenic, haemorrhagic, immunotoxic, nephrotoxic, hepatotoxic, neurotoxic, and immunosuppressive adverse health effects (48-51).

Because of these risks, the EU has set down limits for several important mycotoxins in food and feed: aflatoxins (AFs), ochratoxin A (OTA), fumonisins (FBs), zearalenone (ZEA), trichothecenes [principally deoxynivalenol (DON), T-2, and HT-2 toxins], and patulin (PAT) (9).

A number of studies have investigated the options of eliminating these compounds (52-54), and arrived at the conclusion that the best way to solve the problem is to prevent mycotoxin formation. To do that, the following rules need to be observed: (i) plant materials should be stored in a cold and dry environment, (ii) plants should be harvested without delay, (iii) crops should be rotated in the field to prevent adaptation of pathogenic microorganisms to a specific monoculture, and (iv) agricultural crops should be handled carefully to prevent mechanical damage, which renders them vulnerable to contamination (52, 53, 55).

There where prevention fails, chemical, physical, or biological methods of detoxification step in (56-58). Some of these methods, such as the use of ozone (59-62), alkaline hydrogen peroxide (63), or gamma irradiation (64, 65) have more promising results than ammoniation (66) or heat treatment (67-69).

However, contamination with several mycotoxins at the same time lessens the efficiency of detoxification, as some mycotoxins are less sensitive to the method than others.

Recently, a new approach to the removal of mycotoxins emerged, and microorganisms such as propionic fermentation bacterium *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have come into focus. This article reviews the current uses of the latter as promising probiotics in mycotoxin removal.

#### *Lactic acid bacteria*

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are Gram-positive, nonsporulating, air and acid tolerant, organotrophic, fermentative rods or cocci producing lactic acid. They do not use oxygen as an electron acceptor. Not possessing catalase, they synthesise superoxide dismutase, removing reactive oxygen species. All the lactic acid bacteria are anaerobic, while some of them tolerate low levels of oxygen in the environment. Currently, only a few are considered to be probiotic, and, together with prebiotics, these have been used in nutrition and treatment of people and farm animals, such as pigs. When homofermentative, LAB ferment 85 % of glucose into lactic acid. In heterofermentation (70) the yield is 50 % plus ethanol and CO<sub>2</sub>.

There are a variety of industrially important genera, including *Lactococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Oenococcus*, *Pediococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, and *Lactobacillus* species (71). Yet, LAB have been used to preserve food and beverages since the beginnings of agriculture (72). Different strains of LAB have been passed down from generation to generation through culinary traditions and fermented food. Currently, LAB play a significant role in the world food production, performing major bioconversions in fermented dairy products, vegetables, and meat. They are also essential for the production of silage, coffee, wine, cocoa, sourdough, and many indigenous fermented foods (73-75). LAB improve flavour, texture, and shelf-life of food products (76).

#### *LAB inhibit fungal growth*

Lactic acid bacteria have the ability to control the growth of various fungi. Inhibition of toxigenic fungi has been demonstrated many times over (77-81). Generally, this antagonistic effect is owed to low-molecular-weight compounds produced by the LAB, such as organic acids (acetic and lactic acid), hydrogen peroxide, proteinaceous compounds, reuterin, hydroxyl fatty acids, and phenolic compounds (Table 2). Organic acids can be native to food or added to it. They are products of carbohydrate metabolism and are safe to use for food preservation. Lactic acid lowers pH, which inhibits the growth of various microorganisms or even kills susceptible bacteria (89). In heterofermentation, LAB can produce acetic acid and trace amounts of propionic acid, both of which have a higher content of undissociated forms at a given pH of the lactic acid. In addition to their effect on the fungus membrane, they also inhibit the absorption of amino acids (89). Low pH also increases the antifungal activity of various salts of propionic acid (90). A particularly interesting component involved in the inhibition of fungal growth is reuterin, a compound of glycerol fermentation produced by various LAB genera under anaerobic conditions (91). Reuterin suppresses the activity of ribonuclease, the enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of DNA (98). It inhibits the growth of the *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* species. Therefore, to enhance these effects, simply add glycerol to LAB cultures.

Lactic acid bacteria can produce various types of fatty acids that improve the sensory quality of fermented products. One such fatty acid, caproic acid, has a strong antifungal activity. It may be synergistic with propionic, butyric, or valeric acids (92).

The best period of incubation to inhibit the growth of toxin-forming fungi is about 48 h and the best temperature is from 25 to 30 °C (93). These conditions favour the production of organic acids, which in turn, inhibit the growth of pathogenic fungi.

**Table 2** Antifungal compounds produced by LAB

LAB	Compounds	References
<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i>	Reuterin	(82)
<i>L. plantarum</i>	Peptids – cyclo (Leu-Pro), cyclo (Phe-Pro)	(83)
<i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i>	Phenolic compounds	(84)
<i>L. reuteri</i>	Acetic acid, phenyllactic acid	(85)
<i>L. plantarum</i>	3-phenyllactic acid	(86)
<i>L. paracasei</i> subsp. <i>paracasei</i>	Lactic acid, propionic acid, acetic acid, succinic acid, hydroxyphenyllactic acid, 3-phenyllactic acid	(86)
<i>L. plantarum</i>	3,6-bis(2-methylpropyl)-2,5-piperazinedion	(87)
<i>L. plantarum</i>	2-hydroxy-4 methylpentanoic acid	(77)

### Removal of mycotoxins with the LAB

Numerous studies have demonstrated that many LAB species can remove mycotoxins. Removal efficiency ranges from small amounts to almost complete removal (94-99). The most efficient species are *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. plantarum*, *L. lactis*, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, and *Bifidobacterium bifidum*. Each species acts differently and on different mycotoxins. The most versatile seems to be *L. rhamnosus*, which efficiently removes several mycotoxins at once (96, 100-103). Reduction is even higher at pH 4 (100). Other crucial parameters include LAB cell viability and mycotoxin concentrations (104). There are several mechanisms of removal, but the most efficient is binding to the bacterial cells (105). LAB cell surfaces bind various molecules such as toxins and metal ions (106, 107). Their cell walls contain peptidoglycan matrices, neutral polysaccharides, teichoic and lipoteichoic acid, and a protein S layer. However, binding is based on the adsorption capacity of mycotoxins to the cells and not on enzyme activity. This is where peptidoglycan and exopolysaccharides play an important role (108). In fact, thermally inactivated LAB exhibit higher removal capacity, due to changes on the cell surface. Mycotoxin binding is permanent only if the LAB are dead, whereas the living bacteria may release some of the mycotoxin content with time (109). Bueno et al. (110) proposed a mathematical model to illustrate the attachment of AFB<sub>1</sub> to LAB and *S. cerevisiae*, taking into account two processes: adsorption and desorption. This model shows that AFB<sub>1</sub> binds to a number of sites in LAB.

Another method of mycotoxin removal is adhesion (111). Its efficiency correlates with the bacterial concentration, but some of the toxin content is released with time and is therefore not permanent.

Table 3 lists the LAB that can remove mycotoxins.

### Aflatoxins

This group of compounds is formed mainly by the species *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus*, commonly found in soil and in stored agricultural produce (137-139). *A. parasiticus* often contaminates oilseeds and produces B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub>, and G<sub>2</sub> aflatoxins (AFB<sub>1</sub>, AFB<sub>2</sub>, AFG<sub>1</sub>, and

AFG<sub>2</sub>), while *A. flavus* is usually found on cereals and produces only the B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> aflatoxins (13, 18) (Table 1). AFB<sub>1</sub> in dairy mammal feed is strongly associated with aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) in milk (104). Other, less common species include *A. nomius*, *A. toxicarius*, *A. tamaris*, *A. pseudotamari*, and *A. bombycids* (15, 140, 141).

Conditions favouring aflatoxin production are humidity above 13 % and temperature between 24 and 37 °C (142), which are mostly encountered in the countries with subtropical and tropical climates (143-145). In recent years, aflatoxins in maize have also been reported in southern Europe. This is probably due to climate change and adaptive abilities of the *Aspergillus* spp. (146).

Agricultural commodities get contaminated with aflatoxigenic fungi before and at the harvest, processing, transport, and storage (147), especially peanuts, cereals, and their products (148, 149), as well as animal feeds (16, 150-152).

Motameny et al. (117) investigated the removal of AFB<sub>1</sub> from a gastrointestinal model with *L. rhamnosus*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. acidophilus* and found that *L. plantarum* was the most successful (28 %), followed by *L. acidophilus* (22 %) and *L. rhamnosus* (18 %). Elsanhoty et al. (120) compared the ability of viable and heat-treated *L. acidophilus*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. sanfranciscensis*, and *Bifidobacterium angulatum* to remove AFBs (AFB<sub>1</sub>, AFB<sub>2</sub>, AFG<sub>1</sub>, and AFG<sub>2</sub>) from PBS liquid medium. Among the four tested strains, *L. rhamnosus* was the most efficient in the initial binding of all these aflatoxins and confirmed superior efficiency after 4 washes, which suggests that it forms the most stable complexes with these aflatoxins.

Hernandez-Mendoza et al. (124) studied the binding of AFB<sub>1</sub> by *Lactobacillus reuteri* and *L. casei* at different pH (6, 7.2, and 8) and incubation time (0, 4, and 12 h). Both strains showed the highest AFB<sub>1</sub>-binding capacity at pH 7.2 after 4 and 12 h of incubation (67.8 and 55.6 % for *L. casei* and 80 and 80 % for *L. reuteri*, respectively).

Corassin et al. (112) compared the AFB<sub>1</sub>-binding ability of *L. delbrueckii* spp. *bulgaricus*, *L. rhamnosus*, and *B. lactis* in combination with heat-killed *S. cerevisiae*. This combination ensured complete mycotoxin binding (100 %).

Khoury et al. (113) compared the AFM<sub>1</sub>-binding efficiency of *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus* in PBS. *L.*

**Table 3** The ability of lactic acid bacteria to reduction of mycotoxins

Mycotoxins	Bacteria	Matrices	References
Aflatoxin	<i>Lactobacillus bulgaricus</i>	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk;	(94)
		UHT skim milk;	(112)
		milk and yogurt	(113)
	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk;	(94)
		silage extract medium;	(114)
		phosphate buffer saline;	(115, 116)
		ruminant gastrointestinal model;	(117)
	<i>Lactobacillus gasseri</i>	maize grain;	(118)
		phosphate buffer saline, skim milk	(94)
	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk;	(94, 119)
		UHT skim milk;	(112)
		silage extract medium;	(114)
		phosphate buffer saline, dough, baladi bread;	(120)
		<i>in vitro</i> digestion model;	(121)
		phosphate buffer saline;	(122)
	<i>Lactobacillus casei</i>	ruminant gastrointestinal model;	(117)
		MRS broth	(100)
		phosphate buffer saline;	(115, 123, 124)
		female rats;	(125)
	<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	maize grain;	(118)
		<i>in vitro</i> digestion model;	(121)
		phosphate buffer saline;	(115, 122)
	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	<i>in vitro</i> digestion model;	(121)
		ruminant gastrointestinal model;	(117)
		maize grain;	(118)
	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk	(119)
		maize grain	(118)
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i>	maize grain	(118)	
<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i>	female rats;	(125)	
	phosphate buffer saline;	(124)	
	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk	(119)	
<i>Lactobacillus johnsonii</i>	phosphate buffer saline;	(124)	
	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk	(119)	
<i>Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis</i>	phosphate buffer saline, dough, baladi bread	(120)	
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	LAPTg medium;	(126)	
	phosphate buffered saline	(116)	
<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	milk and yogurt	(113)	
<i>Enterococcus avium</i>	Phosphate buffer saline, skim milk	(94)	
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	LAPTg medium;	(126)	
	phosphate buffer saline	(127)	
<i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i>	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk;	(94)	
	phosphate buffer saline	(122)	
<i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i>	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk;	(94)	
	UHT skim milk	(112)	
<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>	phosphate buffer saline;	(124)	
	phosphate buffer saline, skim milk	(119)	
<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>	<i>in vitro</i> digestion model	(121)	
<i>Bifidobacterium angulatum</i>	phosphate buffer saline, dough, baladi bread	(120)	
Ochratoxin A	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i>	MRS agar, PDA agar, coffee meal extract agar	(95)
	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	MRS agar, PDA agar, coffee meal extract agar	(95)
		sodium phosphate buffer;	(128)
	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	MRS agar, PDA agar, coffee meal extract agar	(95)
<i>Lactobacillus helveticus</i>	MRS medium	(102)	

Mycotoxins	Bacteria	Matrices	References
Ochratoxin A	<i>Lactobacillus bulgaricus</i>	sodium phosphate buffer; MRS medium; dried skim milk	(128) (102) (129)
	<i>Lactobacillus casei</i>	yeast medium, MRS broth; MRS medium	(130) (102)
	<i>Lactobacillus lactis</i>	sodium phosphate buffer; MRS medium	(128) (102)
	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	sourdough MRS medium	(131) (102)
	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	sourdough; MRS medium	(131) (102)
	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	MRS medium	(102)
	<i>Lactobacillus sanfrancisco</i>	sourdough	(131)
	<i>Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis</i>	MRS medium	(102)
	<i>Lactobacillus sakei</i>	yeast medium, MRS broth	(130)
	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	sodium phosphate buffer; MRS medium	(128) (102)
	<i>Oenococcus oeni</i>	MLO culture medium	(132)
	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> subsp. <i>thermophilus</i>	dried skim milk	(129)
	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	yeast medium, MRS broth	(130)
	<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>	dried skim milk	(129)
	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>	sodium phosphate buffer	(128)
	<i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i>	sodium phosphate buffer	(128)
	Fumonisin	<i>Lactobacillus paraplantarum</i>	corn infusion
<i>Lactobacillus lactis</i>		corn infusion	(96)
<i>Lactobacillus bulgaricus</i>		corn infusion	(96)
<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>		corn infusion	(96)
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i>		corn infusion	(133)
<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i>		corn infusion	(96)
<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>		corn infusion	(133)
Zearalenon	<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i>	phosphate buffer saline, mice	(97)
	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	phosphate buffer saline, mice; silage extract medium	(97) (114)
	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	phosphate buffer saline	(102, 134)
	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	ruminal fluid	(135)
Trichotecenes	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	MRS broth	(98)
	<i>Lactobacillus pentosus</i>	ultrapure water	(104)
	<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i>	ultrapure water	(104)
	<i>Lactobacillus casei</i>	MRS broth	(98)
	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	MRS broth	(98)
	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	MRS broth	(98)
	Patulin	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	apple juice; phosphate buffer saline
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>		MRS broth	(99)
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> ssp. <i>lactis</i>		phosphate buffer saline; MRS broth	(136) (99)
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>		MRS broth	(99)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>		apple juice; phosphate buffer saline	(103) (127)
<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>		phosphate buffer saline	(136)
<i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i>		phosphate buffer saline	(136)

*bulgaricus* showed the highest binding efficiency (87.6 %). The same species was also used to investigate its AFM<sub>1</sub> binding in yogurt processing over 6 h. Again, *L. bulgaricus* won with 58.5 %, over *S. thermophilus* which bound 37.7 % of AFM<sub>1</sub>. It was also found that the binding efficiency increased with time.

Sezer et al. (116) investigated the efficiency of LAB (*L. lactis* and *L. plantarum*) and their bacteriocins in removing AFB<sub>1</sub> from liquid culture. *L. plantarum* was more efficient than *L. lactis* (46 % vs 27 %, respectively), but efficacy was even higher when combined with bacteriocins. When the two strains were combined, AFB<sub>1</sub> removal reached 81 %.

Zinedine et al. (100) studied LAB efficiency in removing AFB<sub>1</sub> from the Moroccan sourdough bread. The winner was *L. rhamnosus* with 44.89 % AFB<sub>1</sub> removal at pH 6.5 and 30 °C.

#### Ochratoxin A

There are three major OTA-producing species, *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *A. carbonarius*, and *Penicillium verrucosum* (153, 154). Other species reported to produce OTA include *A. niger*, *A. sclerotioniger*, *A. lacticoffeatus*, *A. foetidus*, *A. westerdijkiae*, *A. steynii* and *A. tubingensis* (155, 156).

OTA is common in stored cereal grain, starch-rich food such as cereals (including wheat, barley, maize, rice, oat, and rye), and edible legume seeds (20). It does not attack plants during vegetation, save for the grapevines (23) (Table 1).

A number of studies investigated its removal by LAB (95, 102, 128-130, 132). Piotrowska and Żakowska (102) reported removal by *L. rhamnosus* as high as 87.5 %. *L. acidophilus* removed 70.5 %, *L. lactis* 59.6 %, *L. brevis* 56.2 %, *L. plantarum* 56.2 %, *L. sanfranciscensis* 52.0 %, *L. helveticus* (31.0 %), *L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus* 28.3 %, and *L. casei* 16.6%. In another study, Piotrowska and Żakowska (131) investigated OTA removal from flour. *L. plantarum* was the most efficient (56 % removal), followed by *L. sanfrancisco* (51.0 %). A combination of *L. plantarum*, *L. sanfrancisco*, *L. brevis*, and *S. cerevisiae*, however, yielded even higher removal of 68 % after 40 h of incubation.

Fuchs et al. (128) examined the reactions and the relationship between the amount of added mycotoxins (500 and 1000 ng) and LAB species in a liquid medium. Even though they did not establish a clear relationship, the most efficient in removing OTA was *L. acidophilus* (97 %), followed by *Bifidobacterium longum* (58 %), *L. plantarum* (44 %), *L. lactis* (34 %), *L. casei* (31 %), and *L. bulgaricus* (29 %).

Mateo et al. (132) tested three factors to investigate the dynamics of OTA removal: *Oenococcus oeni* (10 strains), OTA level in medium (2 and 5 µg L<sup>-1</sup>), and incubation time (0, 5, 10, and 14 days). All ten strains eliminated OTA from the medium but the highest reduction was 63 % after 14

days of incubation with the 124M strain in a medium spiked with 2 µg L<sup>-1</sup> of OTA and 58 % after 10 days of incubation with the 6G strain in a medium spiked with 5 µg L<sup>-1</sup> of OTA.

In another experiment Kapetanakou et al. (130) used *Streptococcus salivarius*, *Lactobacillus sakei*, and *L. casei* to reduce varying amounts of OTA, taking into account pH. Reduction increased slightly with the amount of added mycotoxins. The highest removal of 20 % was observed for the two *Lactobacillus* species at pH 5. The best result for *S. salivarius* was about 10 % at pH 4.

#### Fumonisin

Fumonisin have been identified and described relatively recently. They were first isolated from the strain *Fusarium verticillioides* (formerly *F. moniliforme*) in 1988 in South Africa (158). Other producers of fumonisins are *F. proliferatum*, *F. napiforme*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. dlamini*, *F. nygamai*, and *Aspergillus niger* (which produce fumonisins B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>4</sub>, and B<sub>6</sub> but not B<sub>1</sub>) (44, 159-161).

Of the 28 fumonisin analogues, only three are natural contaminants of food and feed: FB<sub>1</sub> (which makes 70-80 % of the three fumonisins), FB<sub>2</sub> (15-25 %), and FB<sub>3</sub> (3-8 %) (162). Fumonisin typically contaminate maize crops, but were also reported in other cereals (42) and asparagus (163) (Table 1).

Niderkorn et al. (96) tested the ability of several bacterial species to remove FB<sub>1</sub> and FB<sub>2</sub> from a medium at pH 4. FB<sub>1</sub> was best removed by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* (82 %), *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (79 %), *L. plantarum* (74 %), and *L. rhamnosus* (74 %). FB<sub>2</sub> was completely (100 %) removed by *L. lactis*, whereas *L. mesenteroides*, *S. thermophilus*, *P. pentosaceus*, *L. casei*, *L. helveticus*, *L. bulgaricus*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. rhamnosus* removed over 90%. Niderkorn et al. (133) also combined *L. paraplantarum*, *S. thermophilus*, and various treatment methods to eliminate FB<sub>1</sub> and FB<sub>2</sub>. The best binding result (37 %) was observed with *S. thermophilus* in trichloroacetic acid. Under the same conditions *L. paraplantarum* bound 19 % of the mycotoxin. With HCl *S. thermophilus* bound 24 %. Binding with other treatments did not exceed 15 %. FB<sub>2</sub> binding rate was much higher than that of FB<sub>1</sub>, and the highest was observed with trichloroacetic acid (76 % for *S. thermophilus* and 65 % for *L. paraplantarum*) and HCl (65 % for *S. thermophilus* and 51 % for *L. paraplantarum*). These findings indicate that the method of detoxification, pH, and bacterial concentration play the key role in fumonisin removal. Methods that degrade cell wall surface structures increase the mycotoxin binding area. Binding can be further improved by increasing the concentration of peptidoglycans.

#### Zearalenone

Zearalenone (ZEA), also known as the F-2 toxin, is the third most common mycotoxin in plants, maize in particular (1, 26, 35). It is one of the strongest non-steroid oestrogens found in nature (164) produced by certain *Fusarium* species,

mainly *F. graminearum*, *F. culmorum*, *F. semitectum*, *F. equiseti*, and *F. cerealis* (32, 165, 166). *Fusaria* are among the most pathogenic toxin-forming fungi. Unlike other mycotoxins, ZEA reaches its maximum levels at 16 % humidity and temperature below 25 °C (167), usually before harvest. High levels were also detected in animal feed containing improperly stored maize (26, 32). Apart from maize, zearalenone can contaminate wheat, barley, oat, sorghum, rice, and peas (26, 38, 39, 40) (Table 1).

El-Nezami et al. (134) tested ZEA removal from culturing media with *L. rhamnosus*. In one experiment, it was about 60 % and in another (102) 64 % from phosphate buffer and lipase with heat-killed bacteria. Acid-killed bacteria removed 59 % of the mycotoxin.

Niderkorn et al. (135) tested the ability of *S. thermophilus* to bind ZEA and its metabolites ( $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -ZOL) in ruminal fluid. Feed (50 % maize grain and 50 % alfalfa hay) alone bound 73 % of ZEA and its metabolites almost immediately and 69 % after 18 h. When *S. thermophilus* was added to the feed, binding rose to 91 % at first and dropped to 67 % after 18 h. When feed was eliminated as an experimental factor, *S. thermophilus* alone bound 83 % and 46 % of ZEA and its metabolites, respectively.

In another study (168), *L. plantarum* was combined with the Tunisian montmorillonite clay as absorbent. Clay alone bound 87 %, of ZEA, *L. plantarum* alone bound 78 %, while the combination bound as much as 94 % after 24 h.

Čvek et al. (111) reported that ZEA binding rose with LAB concentrations in MRS agar (99.12 % for *L. plantarum* and 84.71 % for *L. rhamnosus* at the concentration of  $8 \log_{10}$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) and dropped with incubation time (60-70 % after 72 h).

#### Trichothecenes

Fungi producing trichothecenes B (deoxynivalenol and its derivatives as well as nivalenol) mostly affect wheat and other crops (169). They include *Fusarium culmorum* and *F. graminearum*, which are also responsible for the biosynthesis of ZEA (1, 26, 170). Conditions favouring trichothecenes production are 21-25 °C and >0.95 % water activity, depending on *Fusarium* species (32, 171).

The primary sources of deoxynivalenol (DON) in the food chain are cereals, including wheat, barley, maize, and oat (2, 25-27, 30-33). It was also found in buckwheat, sorghum, and processed food such as flour, bread, pasta, beer, and malt (29, 34) (Table 1).

Franco et al. (104) investigated its removal by *L. plantarum*, *L. pentosus*, and *L. paracasei*. The study was conducted in three variants; (i) with viable cells, (ii) with pasteurised cells, and (iii) with sterilised cells. Sterilised unviable cells showed the best results when used alone; *L. plantarum* bound 67 % of the toxin, *L. pentosus* 47 %, and *L. paracasei* 57 %.

Zou et al. (98) investigated the removal of DON and T-2 from MRS agar with *L. lactis*, *L. brevis*, *L. casei*, and

*L. plantarum* over 0, 24, 48, and 72 h of incubation. The best results were observed at 48 h, while at 72 h the binding rate did not change. *L. plantarum* was the most successful in reducing both DON and T-2 levels (from 1 to about 0.8  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ).

#### Patulin

Patulin is the best known mycotoxin, toxic to both plants and animals and associated with fruit and fruit preserves (45-47). It was first isolated from *Penicillium patulum* in 1940. The Joint Food and Agriculture Organization - World Health Organization Expert Committee on Food Additives has limited the maximum tolerable daily intake of this mycotoxin to <0.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of body weight per day.

Patulin is a dangerous mycotoxin produced under improper storage conditions of various products. Therefore, numerous studies have been conducted to reduce it. Hatab et al. (136) tested the efficiency of viable and unviable *Bifidobacterium bifidum*, *B. animalis*, *L. rhamnosus*, and *L. lactis* at 37 °C for 24 h varying the pH. The best results were obtained at pH 4 with unviable bacteria, as follows (in the descending order): *B. bifidum* (54.8 %), *L. rhamnosus* (52 %), *L. lactis* (35.6 %), and *B. animalis* (21.3 %). The same authors (103) also investigated the efficiency of *L. lactis*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. helveticus*, *B. animalis*, *B. bifidum*, and *Enterococcus faecium* in patulin reduction in apple juice varying two factors: temperature (30 and 37 °C) and patulin concentrations (100, 150, and 200  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). The most efficient reduction (about 80 %) was observed with *L. rhamnosus* (strain 6224) at patulin concentration of 100  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  and temperature of 30 °C.

Hawar et al. (99) reported the highest reduction rate from 100 to about 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  at pH 2 and the lowest at pH 9 (to about 85  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). They also found that the reduction rate dropped with higher CFU.

## CONCLUSIONS

Many studies have demonstrated varying efficiency of LAB in removing mycotoxins from a variety of matrices. Removal mainly relies on mycotoxin binding to LAB cells and inactivation by antifungal products such as acetic acid.

Rendering LAB cells unviable with high temperature or acids seems to increase their mycotoxin-binding efficiency. This is quite likely related to the LAB cell wall components, mainly peptidoglycans and exopolysaccharides. The binding mechanisms, however, are not yet fully understood, and remain to be investigated by future research.

The most efficient LAB strains could be applied in various cereal products and livestock feed to increase food safety. Washing the products with suitable LAB preparations could also bind and remove mycotoxins. Preparations could also be used in cases of fungal infection in animals. Livestock may be fed these compounds at an early stage of

fungal infection, as they not only provide nutrients but also act as pharmaceuticals.

Despite the promising research findings, several questions need to be answered by future tests. As raw materials are subjected to ever more complex technological processes to meet consumer requirements, these questions include optimal timing, pH, methods for inactivating bacterial cells, and LAB concentrations that would yield best results. Future studies should also focus on identifying the exact mechanisms of mycotoxin binding to render it permanent. In the future, LAB will be used more widely in processing raw food liable to contamination with mycotoxins. At this stage, reducing mycotoxins in practice seems like a challenge to be addressed by new technological schemes.

## REFERENCES

- Goliński, P., Waśkiewicz, A., Gromadzka, K. Mycotoxins and mycotoxicoses under climatic conditions of Poland. *Polish J Vet Sci* 2009;12:581-8. PMID: 20169938
- Antonissen G, Martel A, Pasmans F, Ducatelle R, Verbrugghe E, Vandenbroucke V, Li S, Haesebrouck F, van Immerseel F, Croubels S. The impact of *Fusarium* mycotoxins on human and animal host susceptibility to infectious diseases. *Toxins (Basel)* 2014;6:430-52. doi: 10.3390/toxins6020430
- Bhatnagar D, Yu J, Ehrlich KC. Toxins of filamentous fungi. *Chem Immunol* 2002;81:167-206. doi: 10.1159/000058867
- Marin S, Ramos AJ, Cano-Sancho G, Sanchis V. Mycotoxins: Occurrence, toxicology, and exposure assessment. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2013;60:218-37. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2013.07.04
- Adeyeye SAO. Fungal mycotoxins in foods: A review. *Cogent Food Agric* 2016;2:1213127. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2016.1213127
- Tola M, Kebede B. Occurrence, importance and control of mycotoxins: A review. *Cogent Food Agric* 2016;2:1191103. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2016.1191103
- Bryden WL. Mycotoxin contamination of the feed supply chain: implications for animal productivity and feed security. *Animal Feed Sci Technol* 2012;173:134-58. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.12.014
- Han Z, Nie D, Ediage EN, Yang X, Wang J, Chen B, Li S, On SL, De Saeger S, Wu A. Cumulative health risk assessment of co-occurring mycotoxins of deoxynivalenol and its acetyl derivatives in wheat and maize: case study, Shanghai, China. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2014;74:334-42. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2014.10.018
- Bennett JW, Klich M. Mycotoxins. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2003;16:497-516. doi: 10.1128/CMR.16.3.497-516.2003
- Calado T, Venancio A, Abrunhosa L. Irradiation for mold and mycotoxin control: a review. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf* 2014;13:1049-61. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12095
- de Nijs M, Mengelers MJB, Boon PE, Heyndrickx E, Hoogenboom LAP, Lopez P, Mol HGJ. Strategies for estimating human exposure to mycotoxins via food. *World Mycotoxin J* 2016;9:831-45. doi: 10.3920/WMJ2016.2045
- Gnonlonfin GJB, Adjovi YC, Tokpo AF, Agbekponou ED, Ameyapohc Y, de Souza C, Brimerd L, Sannib A. Mycobiota and identification of aflatoxin gene cluster in marketed spices in West Africa. *Food Control* 2013;34:115-20. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.04.021
- Iqbal SA, Khalil IA, Shah H. Aflatoxin contents of stored and artificially inoculated cereals and nuts. *Food Chem* 2006;98:699-703. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.06.034
- Lewis L, Onsongo M, Njapau H. Aflatoxin contamination of commercial maize products during an outbreak of acute aflatoxicosis in eastern and central Kenya. *Environ Health Perspect* 2005;113:1763-7. doi: 10.1289/ehp.7998
- Mushtaq M, Sultana B, Anwar F, Khan MZ, Ashrafuzzaman M. Occurrence of aflatoxins in selected processed foods from Pakistan. *Int J Mol Sci* 2012;13:8324-37. doi: 10.3390/ijms13078324
- Offiah N, Adesiyun A. Occurrence of aflatoxins in peanuts, milk, and animal feed in Trinidad. *J Food Prot* 2007;70:771-5. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-70.3.771
- Ratnavathi CV, Komala VV, Kumar BS, Das IK, Patil JV. Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in sorghum grown in different geographical regions of India. *J Sci Food Agric* 2012;92:2416-20. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.5646
- Romagnoli B, Menna V, Gruppioni N, Bergamini C. Aflatoxins in spices, aromatic herbs, herb-teas and medicinal plants marketed in Italy. *Food Control* 2007;18:697-701. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.02.020
- Fazekas B, Tar AK, Zomborszky-Kovács M. Ochratoxin A contamination of cereal grains and coffee in Hungary in the year 2001. *Acta Vet Hung* 2002;50:177-88. doi: 10.1556/AVet.50.2002.2.7
- Llorent-Martínez EJ, Ortega-Barrales P, Fernández-de Córdova ML, Ruiz-Medina A. Quantitation of ochratoxin A in cereals and feedstuff using sequential injection analysis with luminescence detection. *Food Control* 2013;30:379-85. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.07.036
- Meucci V, Costa E, Razzuoli E. Occurrence of ochratoxin A in blood of Italian slaughtered pigs. *Toxicol Lett* 2005;158(1 Suppl):S116.
- Terra MF, Prado G, Pereira GE, Ematné HJ, Batista LR. Detection of ochratoxin A in tropical wine and grape juice from Brazil. *J Sci Food Agric* 2013;93:890-4. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.5817
- Varga J, Kozakiewicz Z. Ochratoxin A in grapes and grape-derived products. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 2006;17:72-81. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2005.10.007
- Aniołowska M, Steininger M. Determination of trichothecenes and zearalenone in different corn (*Zea mays*) cultivars for human consumption in Poland. *J Food Compos Anal* 2014;33:14-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2013.09.008
- dos Santos JS, Souza TM, Ono EYS, Hashimoto EH, Bassoi MC, de Miranda MZ, Itano EN, Kawamura O, Hirooka EY. Natural occurrence of deoxynivalenol in wheat from Paraná State, Brazil and estimated daily intake by wheat products. *Food Chem* 2013;138:90-5. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.09.100
- Goliński P, Waśkiewicz A, Wiśniewska H, Kiecana I, Mielniczuk E, Gromadzka K, Kostecki M, Bocianowski J, Rymaniak E. Reaction of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars to infection with *Fusarium* spp.: mycotoxin contamination in grain and chaff. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess* 2010;27:1015-24. doi: 10.1080/19440041003702208
- Gonzalez Pereyra ML, Pereyra CM, Ramirez ML, Rosa CAR, Dalcero AM, Cavaglieri LR. Determination of mycobiota

- and mycotoxins in pig feed in central Argentina. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2008;46:555-61. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02347.x
28. Montes R, Segarra R, Castillo MA. Trichothecenes in breakfast cereals from the Spanish retail market. *J Food Compost Anal* 2012;27:38-44. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2012.05.004
  29. Pacin A, Ciancio Bovier E, Cano G, Taglieri D, Pezzani HC. Effect of the bread making process on wheat flour contaminated by deoxynivalenol and exposure estimate. *Food Control* 2010;21:492-5. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.07.012
  30. Sampietro DA, Fauguel CM, Vattuone MA, Presello DA, Catalan CAN. Phenylpropanoids from maize pericarp: resistance factors to kernel infection and fumonisin accumulation by *Fusarium verticillioides*. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 2013;135:105-13. doi: 10.1007/s10658-012-0069-3
  31. Tajehmiri A, Aliabadi MA, Darsanaki RK. Occurrence of deoxynivalenol in cereals and cereal based products: a short review. *Scientific J Biol Sci* 2014;3:1-5.
  32. Wiśniewska H, Stępień Ł, Waśkiewicz A, Beszterda M, Góral T, Belter J. Toxicogenic *Fusarium* species infecting wheat heads in Poland. *Cent Eur J Biol* 2014;9:163-72. doi: 10.2478/s11535-013-0262-1
  33. Yazar S, Omurtag GZ. Fumonisin, trichothecenes and zearalenone in cereals. *Int J Mol Sci* 2008;9:2062-90. doi: 10.3390/ijms9112062
  34. Yazdanpanah H, Shafaati A, Foroutan SM, Zarghi A, Aboul-Fathi F, Khoddam A, Shaki F, Nazari F. Occurrence of deoxynivalenol in foods for human consumption from Tehran, Iran. *Iran J Pharmaceut Res* 2014;13(Suppl):87-92. PMID: PMC3977057
  35. Cano-Sancho G, Marin S, Ramos AJ, Sanchis V. Occurrence of zearalenone, an oestrogenic mycotoxin, in Catalonia (Spain) and exposure assessment. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2012;50:835-9. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2011.11.049
  36. Döll S, Dänicke S. The *Fusarium* toxins deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone (ZON) in animal feeding. *Prev Vet Med* 2011;102:132-45. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2011.04.008
  37. Hewitt TC, Flack CL, Kolodziejczyk JK, Chacon AM, D'Ovidio KL. Occurrence of zearalenone in fresh corn and corn products collected from local Hispanic markets in San Diego County, CA. *Food Control* 2012;26:300-4. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.01.035
  38. Seeling K, Dänicke S. Relevance of the *Fusarium* toxins deoxynivalenol and zearalenone in ruminant nutrition. A review. *J Anim Feed Sci* 2005;14:3-40. doi: 10.22358/jafs/66965/2005
  39. Streit E, Schatzmayr G, Tassis P, Tzika E, Marin D, Taranu I, Tabuc C, Nicolau A, Aprodu I, Puel O, Oswald IP. Current situation of mycotoxin contamination and co-occurrence in animal feed-focus on Europe. *Toxins (Basel)* 2012;4:788-809. doi: 10.3390/toxins410078
  40. Waśkiewicz A, Gromadzka K, Wiśniewska H, Goliński P. Accumulation of zearalenone in genotypes of spring wheat after inoculation with *Fusarium culmorum*. *Cereal Res Commun* 2008;36(Suppl 6):401-4.
  41. Feng YZ, Lu XH, Tao B, Pang MH, Liu YC, Dong JG. Natural occurrence of fumonisins B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> in corn from three main production provinces in China. *J Food Prot* 2011;8:1374-8. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-103
  42. Ghiasian SA, Rezayat SM, Kord-Bacheh P, Maghsood AH, Yazdanpanah H, Shephard GS, van der Westhuizen L, Vismer HF, Marasas WFO. Fumonisin production by *Fusarium* species isolated from freshly harvested corn in Iran. *Mycopathologia* 2005;159:31-40. doi: 10.1007/s11046-004-3899-5
  43. Martins FA, Ferreira FMD, Ferreira FD, Bando E, Nerilo SB, Hirooka EY, Machinski Jr M. Daily intake estimates of fumonisins in corn-based food products in the population of Parana, Brazil. *Food Control* 2012;26:614-8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.019
  44. Waśkiewicz A, Stępień Ł, Wilman K, Kachlicki P. Diversity of pea-associated *F. proliferatum* and *F. verticillioides* populations revealed by *FUM1* sequence analysis and fumonisin biosynthesis. *Toxins (Basel)* 2013;5:488-503. doi: 10.3390/toxins5030488
  45. Beltran E, Ibanez M, Sancho VJ, Hernández F. Determination of patulin in apple and derived products by UHPLC-MS/MS. Study of matrix effects with atmospheric pressure ionisation sources. *Food Chem* 2014;142:400-7. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.069
  46. Bragulat MR, Abarca ML, Cabañes FJ. Low occurrence of patulin- and citrinin-producing species isolated from grapes. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2008;47:286-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02422.x
  47. Marin S, Mateo EM, Sanchis V, Valle-Algarra FM, Ramos AJ, Jiménez M. Patulin contamination in fruit derivatives, including baby food, from the Spanish market. *Food Chem* 2011;124:563-8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.06.072
  48. Zain ME. Impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. *J Saudi Chem Soc* 2011;15:129-44. doi: 10.1016/j.jscs.2010.06.006
  49. Hussein HS, Brasel JM. Toxicity, metabolism, and impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. *Toxicology* 2001;167:101-34. doi: 10.1016/S0300-483X(01)00471-1
  50. Assunção R, Silva MJ, Alvito P. Challenges in risk assessment of multiple mycotoxins in food. *World Mycotoxin J* 2016;9:791-811. doi: 10.3920/WMJ2016.2039
  51. Pierron A, Alassane-Kpembé I, Oswald IP. Impact of mycotoxin on immune response and consequences for pig health. *Anim Nutr* 2016;2:63-8. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2016.03.001
  52. Magan N, Aldred D, Mylona K, Lambert RJ. Limiting mycotoxins in stored wheat. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess* 2010;27:644-50. doi: 10.1080/19440040903514523
  53. Magan N, Aldred D. Post-harvest control strategies: minimizing mycotoxins in the food chain. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2007;119:131-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.07.034
  54. Kabak B, Dobson ADW. Biological strategies to counteract the effects of mycotoxins. *J Food Prot* 2009;72:2006-16. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-72.9.2006
  55. Kabak B, Dobson AD, Var I. Strategies to prevent mycotoxin contamination of food and animal feed: a review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2006;46:593-619. doi: 10.1080/10408390500436185
  56. Karlovsky P, Suman M, Berthiller F, De Meester J, Eisenbrand G, Perrin I, Oswald IP, Speijers G, Chiodini A, Recker T, Dussort P. Impact of food processing and detoxification treatments on mycotoxin contamination. *Mycotoxin Res* 2016;32:179-205. DOI: 10.1007/s12550-016-0257-7

57. Ji C, Fan Y, Zhao L. Review on biological degradation of mycotoxins. *Anim Nutr* 2016;2:127-33. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2016.07.003
58. Tsitisianni DI, Dimakopoulou M, Antoniou PP, Tjamos EC. Biological control strategies of mycotoxigenic fungi and associated mycotoxins in Mediterranean basin crops. *Phytopathol Mediterr* 2012;51:158-74. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol\_Mediterr-9497
59. Inan F, Pala M, Doymaz I. Use of ozone in detoxification of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in red pepper. *J Stored Prod Res* 2007;43:425-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jspr.2006.11.004
60. McKenzie KS, Sarr AB, Mayura K, Bailey RH, Miller DR, Rogers TD, Norred WP, Voss KA, Plattner RD, Kubena LF, Phillips TD. Oxidative degradation and detoxification of mycotoxins using a novel source of ozone. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1997;35:807-20. PMID: 9350226
61. McDonough MX, Campabadal CA, Mason LJ, Maier DE, Denvir A, Woloshuk C. Ozone application in a modified screw conveyor to treat grain for insect pests, fungal contaminants, and mycotoxins. *J Stored Prod Res* 2011;47:249-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jspr.2011.04.001
62. de Alencar ER, Faroni LRD, Soares NFF, da Silva WA, da Silva Carvalho MC. Efficacy of ozone as a fungicidal and detoxifying agent of aflatoxins in peanuts. *J Sci Food Agric* 2012;92:899-905. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.466
63. Foulter SG, Trivedi AB, Kitabatake N. Detoxification of citrinin<sup>74</sup> and ochratoxin A by hydrogen peroxide. *JAOAC Int* 1994;77:631-7. PMID: 8012212
64. di Stefano V, Pitzozzo R, Avellone G. Effect of gamma irradiation on aflatoxins and ochratoxin a reduction in almond samples. *J Food Res* 2014;3:113-8. doi: 10.5539/jfr.v3n4p113
65. Ghanem I, Orfi M, Shamma M. Effect of gamma radiation on the inactivation of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in food and feed crops. *Braz J Microbiol* 2008;39:787-91. doi: 10.1590/S1517-838220080004000035
66. Weng CY, Martinez AJ, Park DL. Efficacy and permanency of ammonia treatment in reducing aflatoxin levels in corn. *Food Addit Contam* 1994;11:649-58. doi: 10.1080/02652039409374266
67. Oliveira G, da Silva DM, Pereira RGFA, Paiva LC, Prado G, Batista LR. Effect of different roasting levels and particle sizes on ochratoxin a concentration in coffee beans. *Food Control* 2013;34:651-6. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.06.014
68. Bittner A, Cramer B, Harrer H, Humpf H-U. Structure elucidation and *in vitro* cytotoxicity of ochratoxin alpha amide, a new degradation product of ochratoxin A. *Mycotoxin Res* 2015;31:83-90. doi: 10.1007/s12550-014-0218-y
69. Rempe I, Kersten S, Valenta H, Dänicke S. Hydrothermal treatment of naturally contaminated maize in the presence of sodium metabisulfite, methylamine and calcium hydroxide; effects on the concentration of zearalenone and deoxynivalenol. *Mycotoxin Res* 2013;29:169-75. doi: 10.1007/s12550-013-0166-y
70. Kandler O. Carbohydrate metabolism in lactic acid bacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 1983;49:209-24. PMID: 6354079
71. Stiles ME, Holzapfel WH. Lactic acid bacteria of foods and their current taxonomy. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1997;36:1-29. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(96)01233-0
72. Miller N, Wetterstrom W. The beginnings of agriculture: The ancient near east and north Africa. In: Kiple K, Ornelas K, editors. *The Cambridge World History of Food*. Vol 2. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge Univ Press; 2000. p. 1123-39.
73. Nuraida L. A review: Health promoting lactic acid bacteria in traditional Indonesian fermented foods. *Food Sci Human Wellness* 2015;4:47-55. doi: 10.1016/j.fshw.2015.06.001
74. Wood B. *Microbiology of Fermented Foods*. London: Blackie; 1998.
75. Zannini E, Waters DM, Coffey A, Arendt EK. Production, properties, and industrial food application of lactic acid bacteria-derived exopolysaccharides. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016;100:1121-35. doi: 10.1007/s00253-015-7172-2
76. Indira K. Jayalakshmi S, Gopalakrishnan A, Srinivasan M. Biopreservative potential of marine *Lactobacillus* spp. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 2011;5:2287-96.
77. Ndagano D, Lamoureux T, Dortu C, Vandermoten S, Thonart P. Antifungal activity of 2 lactic acid bacteria of the *Weissella* genus isolated from food. *J Food Sci* 2011;76:M305-11. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02257.x
78. Gerez CL, Carbajo MS, Rollán G, Torres Leal G, Font de Valdez G. Inhibition of citrus fungal pathogens by using lactic acid bacteria. *J Food Sci* 2010;75:M354-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01671.x
79. Gerez CL, Torres MJ, Font de Valdez G, Rollán G. Control of spoilage fungi by lactic acid bacteria. *Biol Control* 2013;64:231-7. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2012.10.009
80. Oranus S, Braide W, Oguoma OI. Antifungal properties of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from *Ricinus communis*, *Pentaclethra macrophylla* and yoghurts. *Glo Adv Res J Food Sci Technol* 2013;2:1-6.
81. Schillinger U, Villarreal JV. Inhibition of *Penicillium nordicum* in MRS medium by lactic acid bacteria isolated from foods. *Food Control* 2010;21:107-11. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2008.11.010
82. Schaefer L, Auchtung TA, Hermans KE, Whitehead D, Borhan B, Britton RA. The antimicrobial compound reuterin (3-hydroxypropionaldehyde) induces oxidative stress via interaction with thiol groups. *Microbiology* 2010;156:1589-99. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.035642-0
83. Dalbello F, Clarke C, Ryan L, Ulmer H, Schober T, Ström K, Sjögren J, Vansinderen D, Schnurer J, Arendt E. Improvement of the quality and shelf life of wheat bread by fermentation with the antifungal strain *Lactobacillus plantarum* FST 1.7. *J Cereal Sci* 2007;45:309-18. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2006.09.004
84. Mandal V, Sen SK, Mandal NC. Detection, isolation and partial characterization of antifungal compound(s) produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* LAB 5. *Nat Prod Commun* 2007;2:671-4.
85. Gerez LC, Torino IM, Rollan G, de Valdez FG. Prevention of bread mould spoilage by using lactic acid bacteria with antifungal properties. *Food Control* 2009;20:144-8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2008.03.005
86. Prema P, Smila D, Palavesam A, Immanuel G. Production and characterization of an antifungal compound (3-phenyllactic acid) produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain. *Food Bioprocess Tech* 2010;3:379-86.
87. Schwenninger S, Lacroix C, Truttmann S, Jans C, Spöndli C, Bigler L. Characterization of low-molecular-weight antiyeast metabolites produced by a food-protective *Lactobacillus-Propionibacterium* coculture. *J Food Prot* 2008;71, 2481-7. PMID: 19244902

88. Yang EJ, Chang HC. Purification of a new antifungal compound produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* AF<sub>1</sub> isolated from kimchi. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2010;139:56-63. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.02.012
89. Eklund T. Organic acids and esters. In: Gould GW, editor. *Mechanisms of Action of Food Preservation Procedures*. London: Elsevier Applied Science; 1989. p. 161-200.
90. Woolford MK. The antimicrobial spectra of some salts of organic acids and glutaraldehyde in respect to their potential as silage additives. *Grass Forage Sci* 1984;39:53-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2494.1984.tb01664.x
91. Langa S, Martín-Cabrejas I, Montiel R, Landete JM, Medina M, Arqués JL. Combined antimicrobial activity of reuterin and diacetyl against foodborne pathogens. *J Dairy Sci* 2014;97:6116-21. doi: 10.3168/jds.2014-8306
92. Corsetti A, Gobetti M, Rossi J, Damiani P. Antimould activity of sourdough lactic acid bacteria: identification of a mixture of organic acids produced by *Lactobacillus sanfrancisco* CB1. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1989;50:253-6. doi: 10.1007/s002530051285
93. Dalié DKD, Deschamps AM, Richard-Forget F. Lactic acid bacteria - Potential for control of mould growth and mycotoxins: A review. *Food Control* 2010;21:370-80. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.07.011
94. Bovo F, Corassin CH, Rosim RE, de Oliveira CAF. Efficiency of lactic acid bacteria strains for decontamination of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in phosphate buffer saline solution and in skimmed milk. *Food Bioprocess Technol* 2013;6:2230-4. doi: 10.1007/s11947-011-0770-9
95. Suarez-Quiroz ML, Gonzalez-Rios O, Champion-Martinez EI, Angulo O. Effects of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented coffee (*Coffea arabica*) on growth of *Aspergillus ochraceus* and ochratoxin A production. In: *Proceedings of 22<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Coffee Science, ASIC 2008; 14-19 September 2008; Campinas, SP Brazil*. Paris: Association Scientifique Internationale du Café (ASIC); 2008. p. 542-6.
96. Niderkorn V, Boudra H, Morgavi DP. Binding of *Fusarium* mycotoxins by fermentative bacteria *in vitro*. *J Appl Microbiol* 2006;101:849-56. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02958.x
97. Abbès S, Salah-Abbès JB, Sharafi H, Oueslati R, Noghbi KA. *Lactobacillus paracasei* BEJ01 prevents immunotoxic effects during chronic zearalenone exposure in Balb/c mice. *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol* 2013;35:341-8. doi: 10.3109/08923973.2013.772194
98. Zou ZY, He ZF, Li HJ, Han PF, Meng X, Zhang Y, Zhou F, Ouyang KP, Chen XY, Tang J. *In vitro* removal of deoxynivalenol and T-2 toxin by lactic acid bacteria. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 2012;21:1677-83. doi: 10.1007/s10068-012-0223-x
99. Hawar S, Vevers W, Karieb S, Ali BK, Billington R, Beal J. Biotransformation of patulin to hydroascladiol by *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Food Control* 2013;34:502-8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.05.023
100. Zinedine A, Faid M, Benlemlih M. *In vitro* reduction of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by strains of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Moroccan sourdough bread. *Int J Agric Biol* 2005;7:67-70.
101. Piotrowska M, Zakowska Z. The elimination of ochratoxin A by lactic acid bacteria strains. *Pol J Microbiol* 2005;54:279-86. PMID: 16599298
102. El-Nezami H, Polychronaki N, Lee YK, Haskard C, Juvonen R, Salminen S, Mykkänen H. Chemical moieties and interactions involved in the binding of zearalenone to the surface of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains GG. *J Agric Food Chem* 2004;52:4577-81. doi: 10.1021/jf049924m
103. Hatab S, Yue T, Mohamad O. Removal of patulin from apple juice using inactivated lactic acid bacteria. *J Appl Microbiol* 2012;112:892-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05279.x
104. Franco TS, Garcia S, Hirooka EY, Ono YS, dos Santos JS. Lactic acid bacteria in the inhibition of *Fusarium graminearum* and deoxynivalenol detoxification. *J Appl Microbiol* 2011;111:739-48. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05074.x
105. Niderkorn V, Morgavi DP, Pujos E, Tissandier A, Boudra H. Screening of fermentative bacteria for their ability to bind and biotransform deoxynivalenol, zearalenone and fumonisins in an *in vitro* simulated corn silage model. *Food Addit Contam* 2007;24:406-15. doi: 10.1080/02652030601101110
106. Bolognani F, Rumney CJ, Rowland IR. Influence of carcinogen binding by lactic acid-producing bacteria on tissue distribution and *in vitro* mutagenicity of dietary carcinogens. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1997;35:535-45. PMID: 9225011
107. Orrihage KE, Sillerström E, Gustafsson JA, Nord CE, Raftar J. Binding of mutagenic heterocyclic amines by intestinal lactic acid bacteria. *Mutat Res* 1994;311:239-48. doi: 10.1016/0027-5107(94)90182-1
108. Zhang XB, Ohta Y. Binding of mutagens by fractions of the cell wall skeleton of lactic acid bacteria on mutagens. *J Dairy Sci* 1991;74:1477-81. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78306-9
109. Haskard CA, El-Nezami H, Kankaanpää PE, Salminen S, Ahokas JT. Surface binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by lactic acid bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2001;67:3086-91. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.7.3086-3091.2001
110. Bueno DJ, Casale CH, Pizzolitto RP, Salano MA, Olivier G. Physical adsorption of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by lactic acid bacteria and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: A theoretical model. *J Food Protect* 2006;70:2148-54. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-70.9.2148
111. Čvek D, Markov K, Frece J, Friganović M, Duraković L, Delaš F. Adhesion of zearalenone to the surface of lactic acid bacteria cells. *Croat J Food Technol Biotechnol Nutr* 2012;7(Special Issue):49-52.
112. Corassin CH, Bovo F, Rosim RE, Oliveira CAF. Efficiency of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and lactic acid bacteria strains to bind aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in UHT skim milk. *Food Control* 2013;31:80-3. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.09.033
113. Khoury AE, Atoui A, Yaghi J. Analysis of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk and yogurt and AFM1 reduction by lactic acid bacteria used in Lebanese industry. *Food Control* 2011;22:1695-9. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.04.001
114. Dogi CA, Fochesato A, Armando R, Pribull B, de Souza MMS, da Silva Coelho I, Araújo de Melo D, Dalcero A, Cavaglieri L. Selection of lactic acid bacteria to promote an efficient silage fermentation capable of inhibiting the activity of *Aspergillus parasiticus* and *Fusarium graminearum* and mycotoxin production. *J Appl Microbiol* 2013;114:1650-60. doi: 10.1111/jam.1217
115. Fazeli MR, Hajimohammadali M, Moshkani A, Samadi N, Jamalifar H, Khoshayand MR, Vaghari E, Pouragahi S. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> binding capacity of autochthonous strains of

- lactic acid bacteria. *J Food Protect* 2009;72:189-92. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-72.1.189
116. Sezer C, Güven A, Bilge Oral N, Vatanserver L. Detoxification of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by bacteriocins and bacteriocinogenic lactic acid bacteria. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci* 2013;37:594-601. doi:10.3906/vet-1301-31
117. Motameny R, Sadeghi AA, Dehghan-Banadaky M, Chamani M, Abolhassani M. Effect of some acid treated bacteria on reduction of impure aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in ruminant gastrointestinal model. *J Am Sci* 2012;7:213-7.
118. Oluwafemi F, Kumar M, Bandyopadhyay R, Ogunbanwo T, Ayanwande KB. Bio-detoxification of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in artificially contaminated maize grains using lactic acid bacteria. *Toxins Rev* 2010;29:115-22. doi:10.3109/15569543.2010.512556
119. Serrano-Niño JC, Cavazos-Garduño A, Hernandez-Mendoza A, Applegate B, Ferruzzi MG, San Martin-González MF, García HS. Assessment of probiotic strains ability to reduce the bioaccessibility of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in artificially contaminated milk using an *in vitro* digestive model. *Food Control* 2013;31:202-7. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.09.023
120. Elsanhoty RM, Ramadan MF, El-Gohery SS, Abol-Ela MF, Azeke MA. Ability of selected microorganisms for removing aflatoxins *in vitro* and fate of aflatoxins in contaminated wheat during baladi bread baking. *Food Control* 2013;33:287-92. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.03.002
121. Kabak B, Ozbey F. Assessment of the bioaccessibility of aflatoxins from various food matrices using an *in vitro* digestion model, and the efficacy of probiotic bacteria in reducing bioaccessibility. *J Food Composit Anal* 2012;27:21-31. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2012.04.006
122. Kasmani FB, Torshizi MAK, Allameh AA, Shariatmadari F. Aflatoxin detoxification potential of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Iranian poultry. *Iran J Vet Res* 2012;13:152-5. doi: 10.22099/IJVR.2012.117
123. Hernandez-Mendoza A, Garcia HS, Steele JL. Screening of *Lactobacillus casei* strains for their ability to bind aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2009;47:1064-8. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2009.01.042
124. Hernandez-Mendoza A, Guzman-de-Peña D, Garcia HS. Key role of teichoic acids on aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> binding by probiotic bacteria. *J Appl Microbiol* 2009;107:395-403. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04217.x
125. Hathout AS, Mohamed SR, El-Nekeety AA, Hassan NS, Aly SE, Abdel-Wahha MA. Ability of *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus reuteri* to protect against oxidative stress in rats fed aflatoxins-contaminated diet. *Toxicon* 2011;58:179-86. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2011.05.015
126. Fernández-Jur, MG, Muzzolón JA, Dalceró AM, Magnoli CE. Effect of acid lactic bacteria isolated from faeces of healthy dogs on growth parameters and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production by *Aspergillus* species *in vitro*. *Mycotoxin Res* 2011;27:273-80. doi: 10.1007/s12550-011-0104-9
127. Topcu A, Bulat T, Wishah R, Boyacı IH. Detoxification of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and patulin by *Enterococcus faecium* strains. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2010;139:202-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.03.00
128. Fuchs S, Sontag G, Stidl R, Ehrlich V, Kundi M, Knasmüller S. Detoxification of patulin and ochratoxin A, two abundant mycotoxins, by lactic acid bacteria. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2008;46:1398-407. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2007.10.008
129. Skrinjar M, Rasic JL, Stojicic V. Lowering of ochratoxin A level in milk by yoghurt bacteria and *bifidobacteria*. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 1996;41:26-8. Lowering of ochratoxin A level in milk by yoghurt bacteria and bifidobacteria
130. Kapetanakou AE, Kollias JN, Drosinos EH, Skandamis PN. Inhibition of *A. carbonarius* growth and reduction of ochratoxin A by bacteria and yeast composites of technological importance in culture media and beverages. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2012;152:91-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.09.010
131. Piotrowska M, Zakowska Z. The biodegradation of ochratoxin A in food products by lactic acid bacteria and baker's yeast. *Progress Biotechnol* 2000;17:307-10. doi: 10.1016/S0921-0423(00)80085-4
132. Mateo EM, Medina A, Mateo F, Valle-Algarra FM, Pardo I, Jiménez M. Ochratoxin A removal in synthetic media by living and heat-inactivated cells of *Oenococcus oeni* isolated from wines. *Food Control* 2010;21:23-8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.03.012
133. Niderkorn V, Morgavi DP, Aboab B, Lemaire M, Boudra H. Cell wall component and mycotoxin moieties involved in the binding of fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> by lactic acid bacteria. *J Appl Microbiol* 2009;106:977-85. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.04065.x
134. El-Nezami H, Polychronaki N, Salminen S, Mykkänen H. Binding rather than metabolism may explain the interaction of two food-grade *Lactobacillus* strains with zearalenone and its derivative  $\alpha$ -zearalenol. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;68:3545-9. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.7.3545-3549.2002
135. Niderkorn V, Boudra H, Morgavi DP. Stability of the bacteria-bound zearalenone complex in ruminal fluid and in simulated gastrointestinal environment *in vitro*. *World Mycotoxin Journal* 2008;1:463-7. doi: 10.3920/WMJ2007.1010
136. Hatab S, Yue T, Mohamad O. Reduction of patulin in aqueous solution by lactic acid bacteria. *J Food Sci* 2012;77:M238-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02615.x
137. Reddy KRN, Saritha P, Reddy CS, Muralidharan K. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> producing potential of *Aspergillus flavus* strains isolated from stored rice grains. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2009;8:3303-8.
138. Yu J, Cleveland TE, Nierman WC, Bennett JW. *Aspergillus flavus* genomics: gateway to human and animal health, food safety, and crop resistance to diseases. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2005;22:194-202. doi: 10.1016/S1130-1406(05)70043-7
139. Zrari TJO. Detection of aflatoxin from some *Aspergillus* sp. isolated from wheat seeds. *J Life Sci* 2013;7:1041-7.
140. Frisvad JC, Skouboe P, Samson RA. Taxonomic comparison of three different groups of aflatoxin producers and a new efficient producer of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, sterigmatocystin and 3-O-methylsterigmatocystin, *Aspergillus rambellii* sp. nov. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2005;28:442-53. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2005.02.012
141. Ostry V, Ruprich J, Skarkova J, Prochazkova I, Kubatova A. Occurrence of the toxigenic fungi (producers of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A) in foodstuffs in the Czech Republic 1999-2000. *Mycotoxin Res* 2001;17(Suppl 2):188-92. doi: 10.1007/BF03036433
142. Jakić-Dimić D, Nešić K, Petrović M. Contamination of cereals with aflatoxins, metabolites of fungi *Aspergillus flavus*. *Biotechnol Anim Husbandry* 2009;25:1203-8.
143. Aydin A, Gunsen U, Demirel S. Total aflatoxin, aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and ochratoxin A levels in Turkish wheat flour. *J Food Drug Anal* 2018;16:48-53.

144. Giray B, Girgin G, Engin AB, Aydin S, Sahin G. Aflatoxin levels in wheat samples consumed in some regions of Turkey. *Food Control* 2007;18:23-9. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2005.08.002
145. Toteja GS, Mukherjee A, Diwakar S, Singh P, Saxena BN, Sinha KK, Sinh AK, Kumar N, Nagaraja KV, Bai G, Prasad CA, Vanchinathan S, Roy R, Parkar S. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> contamination in wheat grain samples collected from different geographical regions of India: A multicenter study. *J Food Protect* 2006;69:1463-7. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-69.6.1463
146. Battilani P, Toscano P, Van der Fels-Klerx HJ, Moretti A, Camardo Leggieri M, Brera C, Rortais A, Goumperis T, Robinson T. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> contamination in maize in Europe increases due to climate change. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:24328. doi: 10.1038/srep24328
147. Saini SS, Kaur A. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>: Toxicity, characteristics and analysis: Mini review. *Global Adv Res J Chem Mater Sci* 2012;1:63-70.
148. Abbas HK, Cartwright RD, Wie W, Shier WT. Aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination of corn (maize, *Zea mays*) hybrids in Arkansas. *Crop Protection* 2006;25:251-259. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2005.02.009
149. Jakšić SM, Abramović BF, Prunić BZ, Mihaljev ZA, Baloš MMZ, Jajić IM, Despotović VN, Bjelica LJ. Incidence of aflatoxins and fumonisins in cereal food from Serbian market. *J Agroalim Proc Technol* 2011;17:108-12.
150. Sassahara M, Pontes Netto M, Yanaka EK. Aflatoxin occurrence in foodstuff supplied to dairy cattle and aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in raw milk in the North of Parana state. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2005;43:981-4. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2005.02.003
151. Shar ZH, Sumbal GA, Sherazi STH, Bhangar MI, Nizamani SM. Natural co-occurrence of aflatoxins and deoxynivalenol in poultry feed in Pakistan. *Food Addit Contamin Part B Surveill* 2014;7:162-7. doi: 10.1080/19393210.2013.867904
152. Zinedine A, Juan C, Soriano JM, Idrissi L, Manes J. Limited survey for the occurrence of aflatoxins in cereals and poultry feeds from Rabat, Morocco. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2007;115:124-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.10.013
153. Elmholt S, Rasmussen PH. *Penicillium verrucosum* occurrence and ochratoxin A contents in organically cultivated grain with special reference to ancient wheat types and drying practice. *Mycopathologia* 2005;159:421-32. DOI: 10.1007/s11046-005-1152-5
154. Ostry V, Malir F, Ruprich J. Producers and important dietary sources of ochratoxin A and citrinin. *Toxins (Basel)* 2013;5:1574-86. doi: 10.3390/toxins5091574
155. Reddy L, Bhoola K. Ochratoxins-food contaminants: impact on human health. *Toxins (Basel)* 2010;2:771-9. doi: 10.3390/toxins2040771
156. Noonim P, Mahakarnchanakul W, Nielsen KF, Frisvad JC, Samson RA. Isolation, identification and toxigenic potential of ochratoxin A-producing *Aspergillus* species from coffee beans grown in two regions of Thailand. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2008;128:197-202. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.08.00
157. Böhm J, Grajewski J, Asperger H, Cecon B, Rabus B, Razzazi E. Study on biodegradation of some A- and B-trichotheceles and ochratoxin A by use of probiotic microorganisms. *Mycotoxin Res* 2000;16(Suppl 1):70-4. doi: 10.1007/BF02942985
158. Gelderblom T, Jaskiewicz WCA, Marasas WFO, Thiel PG, Horak MJ, Vlegaar R, Kriek NPJ. Fumonisin-novel mycotoxins with cancer promotion activity produced by *Fusarium moniliforme*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1988;54:1806-11. PMID: PMC202749
159. Stępień Ł, Koczyk G, Waśkiewicz A. FUM cluster divergence in fumonisins-producing *Fusarium* species. *Fungal Biol* 2011;115:112-23. doi: 10.1016/j.funbio.2010.10.011
160. Stępień Ł, Koczyk G, Waśkiewicz A. Genetic and phenotypic variation of *Fusarium proliferatum* isolates from different host species. *J Appl Genet* 2011;52:487-96. doi: 10.1007/s13353-011-0059-8
161. Frisvad JC, Larsen TO, Thrane U, Meijer M, Varga J, Samson RA, Nielsen KF. Fumonisin and ochratoxin production in industrial *Aspergillus niger* strains. *PLoS One* 2011;6(8):e23496. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023496
162. Waśkiewicz A, Beszterda M, Goliński P. Occurrence of fumonisins in food - an interdisciplinary approach to the problem. *Food Control* 2012;26:491-9. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.007
163. Waśkiewicz A, Irzykowska L, Bocianowski J, Karolewski Z, Weber Z, Goliński P. Fusariotoxins in asparagus – their biosynthesis and migration. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess* 2013;30:1332-8. doi: 10.1080/19440049.2013.796095
164. Danicke S, Swiech E, Buraczewska L, Ueberschär K-H. Kinetics and metabolism of zearalenone in young female pigs. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr* 2005;89:268-76. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2005.00516.x
165. Ezekiel CN, Odebode AC, Fapohunda SO. Zearalenone production by naturally occurring *Fusarium* species on maize, wheat and soybeans from Nigeria. *J Biodiver Environ Sci* 2008;2:77-82.
166. Ferreira Geraldo MR, Tessmann DJ, Kimmelmeier C. Production of mycotoxins by *Fusarium graminearum* isolated from small cereals (wheat, triticale and barley) affected with scab disease in Southern Brazil. *Braz J Microbiol* 2006;37:58-63. doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822006000100011
167. Zwierzchowski W, Przybyłowicz M, Obremski K, Zielonka Ł, Skorska-Wyszyńska E, Gajęcka M, Polak M, Jakimiuk E, Jana B, Rybarczyk L, Gajęcki M. Level of zearalenone in blood serum and lesions in ovarian follicles of sexually immature gilts in the course of zearalenone mycotoxicosis. *Pol J Vet Sci* 2005;8:209-18. PMID: 16180582
168. Abbès S, Salah-Abbès JB, Sharafi H, Noghbi KA, Oueslati R. Interaction of *Lactobacillus plantarum* MON03 with Tunisian Montmorillonite clay and ability of the composite to immobilize zearalenone *in vitro* and counteract immunotoxicity *in vivo*. *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol* 2012;34:944-50. doi: 10.3109/08923973.2012.674139
169. Foroud NA, Eudes F. Trichotheceles in cereal grains. *Int J Mol Sci* 2009;10:147-73. PMID: PMC2662451
170. Kushiro M. Effects of milling and cooking processes on the deoxynivalenol content in wheat. *Int J Mol Sci* 2008;9:2127-45. doi: 10.3390/ijms9112127
171. Welugo SN. Factors influencing deoxynivalenol accumulation in small grain cereals. *Toxins (Basel)* 2012;4:1157-80. doi: 10.3390/toxins4111157

### **Djelotvornost mliječnokiselinskih bakterija protiv patogenih plijesni i mikotoksina**

Mikotoksini su sekundarni proizvodi pojedinih vrsta plijesni rodova *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* i *Fusarium* koji često zagađuju raznovrsne prehrambene proizvode. Stoga se u suzbijanju tih plijesni i zagađenja mikotoksinima primjenjuju mnoge strategije kroz cijeli prehrambeni lanac. U ovome se prikazu raspravlja o primjeni mliječnokiselinskih bakterija, kojima se već stoljećima sprječava razvoj i rast plijesni, a koje sudjeluju i u razgradnji mikotoksina i/ili u njihovu uklanjanju iz zagađene hrane. Budući da su korisne za ljudsko i životinjsko zdravlje, mliječnokiselinske bakterije izvrsno su rješenje problema sa zagađenjem mikotoksinima, ali je njihova primjena još pred izazovima, koje će riješiti buduća istraživanja.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: *Aspergillus*; *biološke metode*; *Fusarium*; *inhibicija*; *LAB*; *Penicillium*